PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 2 1 JUN 2005

[An	olioont	'o or o	rentle file aufanta				WIPO	PCT
Applicant's or agent's file reference 101022-1 WO				FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Notification Preliminary Ex	on of Transmittal of Intern camination Report (Form	ational PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. International filing PCT/SE2004/000472 26.03.2004			International filing data 26.03.2004	te <i>(day/mon</i>	th/year)	Priority date (day/mont	ih/year)	
Co	mation 7D47	nal Pat 71/04	ent Classification (IPC) or b	oth national classificatio	n and IPC			
								· ·
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 								
2.	This	s REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including	this cover	sheet.	•	
		200	s report is also accompar n amended and are the I e Rule 70.16 and Section	Jasis iui iilis renoni ar	ากเกา รทคคา	C CONTAINING M	ontitiontions made bake	ngs which have re this Authority
	The		nexes consist of a total c					
3.	This	repoi	rt contains indications rel	ating to the following	items:			
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
	Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to	novelty in	ventive sten a	ad industrial applicabili	.
	IV		Lack of unity of invention		,,	vertave otep at	id industrial applicabili	iy
	٧		Reasoned statement un citations and explanation	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w	ith regard tatement	to novelty, inv	entive step or industria	al applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cite					
	VII		Certain defects in the in	nternational application	n			
	VIII		Certain observations or	n the international app	lication			
Date of submission of the demand				Date of c	ompletion of this	report		
07.10.2004					17.06.2	005		
Name and mailing address of the international					Authorize	d Officer		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				ł	Cremers, K e No. +49 89 23	99-8541	The second of th	
					l .			- Office en-

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/000472

I. Basis	of the	repo	rt
----------	--------	------	----

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages				
	1-61	I	as originally filed		
	Clai	ims, Numbers			
	1-17	7	as originally filed		
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:		
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).		
3.	With	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.		
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.		
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.			
	□.	The statement that the in the international approximation of the international approximation of the statement of the statemen	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.		
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	litional observations, i	f necessary:		

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/000472

III. Non-establishment of opinion w	ith regard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability

1.	The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international applica	ation,			
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 13				
		because:				
	⊠	the said international applicat does not require an internatio	ion, or nal pre	the said clai eliminary exa	ms Nos. 13 relate to the following subject matter which mination (specify):	
		see separate sheet				
		the description, claims or draw that no meaningful opinion co			ticular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear ecify):	
		the claims, or said claims Nos could be formed.	s. are s	o inadequat	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion	
		no international search report	has be	een establish	ned for the said claims Nos.	
2.	or a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:				
	☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ned or does not comply with the Standard.	
V.	Rea cita	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	cle 35(orting	2) with rega such state	ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
1.	Stat	ement				
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17	
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17	
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12,14-17	
2.	Cita	tions and explanations				
see separate sheet						

POINT III.

For the assessment of the presently worded claim 13, on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT.

The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as industrially applicable claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but will allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a new medical treatment.

POINT V.

The following documents, quoted in the I.S.R., have been considered as relevant for the examination of the present application. Their numbering will be adhered to for the rest of the procedure.

- (1) WO-A-01 58869.
- (2) WO-A-98 22457.

None of the above quoted documents discloses or suggests the claimed pharmacologically active compounds. Consequently, the claimed matter is novel and inventive.

1. Formal Point.

1.1 As stands, the claims are unclear in scope in that some of the definitions encompassed by the dependent claims 2-6 tend to define matters which are not part of main claim 1, to which claims 2-6 refer.

This objection affects especially most of the definitions of R^1 of claim 2,3,4,5 and which 6 do not fall within the open ended definition as mentioned in claim 1 of R^1 being a C_{1-12} group. Even when addressing the description page 2 lines 2-6, the definition of the C_{1-12} group does not refer to most of the definitions of R^1 as mentioned in claim 2-6.

A similar objection also affects the definitions of X, which do not mention in claim 1 that they could be a heteroatom, whereas in dependent claim 3, heteroatom possibilities are mentioned.

Moreover, another similar objection addresses the Ar definitions of claim 1 which, in the

dependent claims 4,5,6, clearly refer to a heterocyclic possibility not encompassed by claim 1.

As a consequence to the above, the Applicant will be requested to submitted a clear version of the claims to come at the entry of the application into the regional EP-proceedings. Especially, claim 1 should be reformulated so as the enable coherent and supported dependencies.

It should also be pointed out that , since some of the definitions of R¹ to come in claim 1 might not have been searched , a supplementary search concerning them will possibly ordered in the regional proceedings.